

NOTE

A note on the uniqueness of global static decompositions

Miguel Sánchez¹ and José M M Senovilla²

¹ Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, Avenida Fuentenueva s/n, 18071 Granada, Spain

² Departamento de Física Teórica e Historia de la Ciencia, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad del País Vasco, Apartado 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain

E-mail: sanchezm@ugr.es, josemm.senovilla@ehu.es

Abstract. We discuss when static Killing vector fields are standard, that is, leading to a *global* orthogonal splitting of the spacetime. We prove that such an orthogonal splitting is *unique* whenever the natural space is compact. This is carried out by proving that many notable spacelike submanifolds must be contained in an orthogonal slice. Possible obstructions to the global splitting are also considered.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 04.20.Gz, 02.40.Ky

AMS classification scheme numbers: 53C50, 53C80

The main goal of this short Note is to analyze the uniqueness of the typical orthogonal splitting, adapted to a static Killing vector field, of static spacetimes. Along the way, we will also clarify some technical points concerning static spacetimes, such as (i) when the existence of a static Killing vector field yields a *global* decomposition of the spacetime—which are then called “standard static”; and (ii) possible obstructions to the existence of standard static spacetimes.

Our main result is Theorem 5, stating that any standard static spacetime with a compact space—defined as the set of trajectories of the static Killing vector field—has a unique global decomposition of the form of expression (1) below. For non-unique splittings with non-compact spaces see [15].

Let (M, g) be a spacetime, i.e., a connected smooth $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional manifold M endowed with a time-orientable C^2 Lorentzian metric g . A time-orientation is fixed and will be implicitly used. Our notation and conventions are standard, as in the books [2, 9, 11], see also [12] for a review.

A Killing vector field $\vec{\xi}$ will be called:

- (i) *(Strictly) stationary* if $\vec{\xi}$ is timelike (and then, by reversing the sign if necessary, can be assumed to be future-directed).
- (ii) *Static* if $\vec{\xi}$ is stationary and irrotational, usually also called hypersurface-orthogonal [14], i.e. its orthogonal distribution is involutive or, equivalently, $\xi \wedge d\xi = 0$, where ξ is the one-form associated to $\vec{\xi}$ via the metric by “lowering” the index: $\xi \equiv g(\vec{\xi}, \cdot)$.
- (iii) *Standard static* if $\vec{\xi}$ is static and the full spacetime (M, g) is isometric to a product manifold $\mathbb{R} \times S$ endowed with the metric

$$g = -V^2 dt^2 + g_S, \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{\xi} = \partial_t$, the function V is such that $\vec{\xi}(V) = 0$, and g_S is a truly Riemannian metric on S , therefore independent of t .

Note that $V^2 = -g(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\xi})$ and that (S, g_S) is isometric to any integral manifold of the orthogonal distribution of $\vec{\xi}$. Thus the splitting (1) is univocally determined, up to isometries, by the standard static Killing vector field $\vec{\xi}$. The Riemannian space (S, g_S) can be also identified with (a) any of the hypersurfaces $t = \text{const.}$, which are orthogonal to $\vec{\xi}$, endowed with its natural first fundamental form and (b) the quotient space $(M/\sim, g_S)$ where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation defined by the integral curves of $\vec{\xi}$ so that two points in M are equivalent if they belong to the same integral curve. (Recall also that there are general results ensuring the completeness of Killing vector fields [5] and that the quotient by the flow of a complete stationary Killing vector field is a Hausdorff manifold [7]).

Accordingly, the spacetime will be called *stationary*, *static* or *standard static*. Observe that the splitting (1) may be non-unique in an essential way: apart from the trivial $a\vec{\xi}$ with constant $a > 0$, other independent standard static Killing vector fields may exist (for instance, $\vec{\xi} = \partial_t$ and $\vec{\xi}' = 2\partial_t + \partial_x$ in Minkowski spacetime $\mathbb{L}^4$ in usual Cartesian coordinates). We will later prove, however, that this is impossible if S is compact.

Locally, any static spacetime looks like a standard one, with the (chosen) static Killing vector field identifiable to ∂_t . In this (non-necessarily standard) static case, the integral hypersurfaces of the foliation orthogonal to $\vec{\xi}$ do make sense, but they do not have to be achronal, nor necessarily homeomorphic to $M/\sim$, as shown in the following example.

Example 1 Take for instance the 2-dimensional cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ with $g = -dt^2 + d\theta^2$ and the (non-standard) static Killing vector field $\vec{\eta} = 2\partial_t + \partial_\theta$. Its orthogonal hypersurfaces are topologically $\mathbb{R}$, while the quotient space is topologically S^1 .

Some remarkable and worth-mentioning properties of stationary and static spacetimes are the following (see [12, Sect.3] for further information):

Property 1 The Killing vector fields on (M, g) form a finite-dimensional Lie algebra, and the stationary ones constitute a convex subset: if $\vec{\xi}_1, \vec{\xi}_2$ are stationary, $\lambda\xi_1 + (1 - \lambda)\xi_2$ is stationary for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Nevertheless, static vector fields do not form a convex subset; in fact, the sum $\vec{\xi}_1 + \vec{\xi}_2$ of static $\vec{\xi}_1, \vec{\xi}_2$ may be non-static. For example, consider the region $|t| < x$ of $\mathbb{L}^4$, and take $\vec{\xi}_1 = 2\partial_t + \partial_y$, $\vec{\xi}_2 = x\partial_t + t\partial_x$.

Property 2 As shown in [13, Th. 2.1(1)] *any complete static vector field in a simply connected spacetime is standard static*. Furthermore, in dimension $n = 2$, any stationary vector field is static, for any 1-dimensional distribution is involutive.

Property 3 Concerning geodesic completeness and related issues, we have the following results. (a) The orthogonal hypersurfaces of any static Killing vector field are totally geodesic, as their second fundamental form vanishes. (b) In the particular standard static case (1), if (M, g) is geodesically complete then so is (S, g_S) . The converse does not hold, as illustrated by the simple example $(\mathbb{R}^2, g = -e^x dt^2 + dx^2)$. (c) Non-standard static vector fields may be incomplete, even in the cases where there is another standard (ergo complete) static Killing vector field (take the strip $-1 < x < 1$ in $\mathbb{L}^2$). (d) Nevertheless, if (M, g) is complete all its Killing vector fields are complete [9, Prop. 9.30]. Actually, if the spacetime is only null or timelike geodesically complete, then any stationary Killing vector field is complete [6, Lemma 1]. (e) The completeness of (M, g) is ensured by general results in [10] (see [12, Th. 2.1]). In particular, if S is compact the standard static spacetime, as well as all its Killing vector fields, are complete.

Before proceeding to prove our main results, let us make some additional comments on the stationary case. In the expression (1) there are no crossed terms between the $\mathbb{R}$ and S parts. If these terms appear and are independent of the variable t , the spacetime as well as the corresponding Killing vector field $\vec{\xi} \equiv \partial_t$ are called *standard stationary*. But, as a difference with the static case, *a standard stationary vector field does not imply a unique splitting of the spacetime as a standard stationary one*. For example, if the spacetime is globally hyperbolic, any complete stationary vector field $\vec{\xi}$ is standard stationary. In fact, a standard stationary splitting is obtained by taking any spacelike Cauchy hypersurface S (which must exist by [3]) and moving it by means of the flow of $\vec{\xi}$. But there are many, even non-isometric, choices of S (for example, S can contain any prescribed compact acausal spacelike submanifold, see [4]), each one yielding a different splitting. On the other hand, a standard stationary spacetime (even globally hyperbolic) which admits a complete static vector field may just be non-standard static, see [12, Sect. 3].

We start by proving two results on the non-existence of certain submanifolds in a standard static spacetime. Recall that a (possibly degenerate) imbedded[‡] submanifold N of a spacetime (M, g) is called *totally geodesic* if any geodesic γ of the spacetime with initial velocity tangent to N remains in N . In this case, N is complete if so are

[‡] This can be relaxed to immersed submanifolds, but the details are cumbersome and add very little to the reasoning.

all such geodesics. A non-degenerate N is totally geodesic if and only if all geodesics of the first fundamental form in N are also geodesics of (M, g) .

Proposition 1 *Let $(M = \mathbb{R} \times S, g)$ be a standard static spacetime (1) with upper bounded V . Any totally geodesic and geodesically complete submanifold N is either*

- (i) *fully contained in a slice $t = \text{constant}$, or*
- (ii) *such that t attains all possible real values.*

Proof. If there is a tangent vector $\vec{v} \in TN$ not tangent to any $t = \text{constant}$ slice, take the corresponding geodesic γ with initial velocity $\vec{v}$. As ∂_t is a Killing vector, its scalar product with the tangent vector field to γ is a constant, say c . Obviously, $c \neq 0$ because $\vec{v}$ is not orthogonal to ∂_t . Therefore,

$$\frac{d(t \circ \gamma)}{ds} = -\frac{c}{V^2}$$

so that our assumption on V yields

$$\left| \frac{d(t \circ \gamma)}{ds} \right| \geq a > 0$$

for some positive constant a . As γ is complete, t runs on the whole $\mathbb{R}$ and the result follows because γ remains in N . ■

The most relevant case arises when N is spacelike. In that case, the strong assumption that N has vanishing shape tensor (or second fundamental form vector, see [9]) $\vec{K}$ can be much weakened to just a very mild condition on its mean curvature vector $\vec{H} \equiv \text{tr} \vec{K}$. Simultaneously, however, one must strengthen the hypothesis on completeness by requiring compactness. The precise statement is

Proposition 2 *Let $(M = \mathbb{R} \times S, g)$ be a standard static spacetime (1). Then, any spacelike compact submanifold N whose mean curvature vector is orthogonal to the standard static Killing vector field $\vec{\xi} = \partial_t$ is fully contained in a slice $t = \text{constant}$.*

This holds in particular if N is critical, i.e. $\vec{H} = \vec{0}$.

Proof. Let $\phi : N \hookrightarrow M$ be the imbedding and denote its pull-back by ϕ^* . As $\vec{\xi}$ is a Killing vector field it follows (see e.g. [8, formula (2)]) that

$$g(\vec{\xi}, \vec{H}) = -\text{div}(\phi^* \xi)$$

where div is the divergence operator associated to the first fundamental form in N . From our assumption we derive $\text{div}(\phi^* \xi) = 0$ and, taking into account that *staticity* implies $\xi = -V^2 dt$, we get

$$\text{div} [(V \circ \phi)^2 d(\phi^* t)] = 0. \quad (2)$$

Expanding $\text{div} [(\phi^* t)(V \circ \phi)^2 d(\phi^* t)]$, integrating then on N , using Gauss' Theorem and (2) we arrive at

$$\int_N (V \circ \phi)^2 |d(\phi^* t)|^2 = 0$$

where the norm is computed with respect to the first fundamental form in N . This immediately implies that $d(\phi^* t)$ must vanish identically (i.e., the only solutions to the *elliptic* partial differential equation (2) for $\phi^* t$ are constant). ■

Remark 3 Several remarks are in order:

- Technically, the previous result and its proof are essentially contained in [8, Prop. 2], where the standard static character of $\vec{\xi}$ was implicitly assumed.
- Observe, more importantly, that this result can be generalized to non-compact N whenever V satisfies some appropriate decaying properties.
- Proposition 2 can be regarded as a generalization to the Lorentzian case of the following well-known result: *there are no compact minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$* . If such a surface existed, and $\phi : N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ denotes its immersion, the components would satisfy $(\Delta\phi^1, \Delta\phi^2, \Delta\phi^3) = \vec{H}$, where Δ is the Laplacian in N with the induced metric. So, the absurd conclusion that $\phi^i \equiv \text{constant}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ would follow.

In the case of hypersurfaces (codimension 1), Proposition 2 is meaningful only in the maximal case $\vec{H} = \vec{0}$. However, this is no restriction at all, because in this case there are stronger results. To start with, in any *stationary* spacetime, closed spacelike submanifolds with a future- (or past-) pointing mean curvature vector field are forbidden unless $\vec{H} = \vec{0}$ everywhere [8, Theorem 1]. Consider then the case of a closed hypersurface N , and let $\vec{n}$ be its (say) future-directed normal vector field, so that $\vec{H} = \theta\vec{n}$. It follows from the above that θ cannot be non-positive, nor non-negative, unless $\theta = 0$ on N . But then Proposition 2 implies that N is actually fully contained in a slice $t = \text{constant}$, that is to say, N is one of these slices; hence, N is not only critical, but also totally geodesic:

Corollary 4 *In a standard static spacetime (1), the only possible closed hypersurfaces with $\theta \geq 0$ or $\theta \leq 0$ (including the constant mean curvature case) are the canonical $t=\text{constant}$ slices. Therefore, they are totally geodesic.*

Our main uniqueness result is a consequence of Propositions 1 or 2.

Theorem 5 *Let $(M = \mathbb{R} \times S, g)$ be a standard static spacetime as in (1), with standard static Killing vector field $\vec{\xi} = \partial_t$. If S is compact then any other standard static vector field is of the form $a\vec{\xi}$ where $a > 0$ is a positive constant.*

Thus, the splitting (1) is unique, up to the trivial re-scaling $\tilde{t} = t/a, \tilde{V} = aV$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists another standard static vector field $\vec{\xi}'$. If $\vec{\xi}'$ were not parallel to $\vec{\xi}$ at some point p , its orthogonal hypersurface S' passing through p would not be contained in the slice $t = t|_p$ of the standard splitting associated to $\vec{\xi}$. But this would contradict any of propositions 1 or 2 because S' must be compact (in fact, homeomorphic to S) and totally geodesic. Thus, $\vec{\xi}'$ and $\vec{\xi}$ are collinear everywhere. As they are both Killing vector fields, they must be proportional $\vec{\xi}' = a\vec{\xi}$ with constant a . ■

Remark 6 Observe that Theorem 5 forbids the existence of an independent *standard* static Killing vector field, but not the existence of other independent static ones (which, moreover, must be necessarily complete due to property 3(e)). Example 1 serves to illustrate this point, for ∂_t is standard static while $\vec{\eta}$ is static but not standard.

Despite the previous Remark 6, additional results on non-existence of non-standard static vector fields can be easily derived, such as the next corollary.

Corollary 7 *Let $(M = \mathbb{R} \times S, g)$ be a standard static spacetime as in (1), with standard static Killing vector field $\vec{\xi} = \partial_t$. If S is compact and simply connected then any other static Killing vector field $\vec{\xi}'$ takes the form $a\vec{\xi}$ with positive constant a .*

Proof. By the uniqueness Theorem 5, and the equivalence between complete static and standard static Killing vector fields in the simply connected case (property 2), one only has to ensure that ξ' is complete. But this is a consequence of the completeness of g (property 3(e)). ■

Remark 8 Assume that simple connectedness is not imposed in Corollary 7, and ξ' is a (necessarily non-standard) static vector field, with orthogonal integral manifold S' (possibly non-compact, as in Example 1) and flow Φ' . The restriction of Φ' to $\mathbb{R} \times S'$ is a covering map on M (use [9, Cor. 7.29]). Moreover, assume that M satisfies (vacuum or more general) Einstein field equations. If ξ' is used to pose Killing initial data on S , then M will be recovered, but if ξ' is used on S' (say, as in [1]) then $\mathbb{R} \times S'$, endowed with the pullback metric, will be obtained.

One obvious problem is left open: what about the case with non-compact slices? It is known that there exist spacetimes with two different splittings of type (1), such as $\mathbb{L}^n$ or other non-trivial cases [15]. However, all these cases are very special, having a specific Petrov type, and a high degree of symmetry. We expect that our techniques can be extended to determine the full list of spacetimes violating the uniqueness of the global splitting (1).

Acknowledgments

MS is partially supported by the Spanish MEC-FEDER Grant MTM2007-60731 and the Junta de Andalucía Regional Grant P06-FQM-01951. JMMS is supported by grants FIS2004-01626 of the Spanish CICyT and GIU06/37 of the University of the Basque Country.

References

- [1] Bartnik R and Tod K P 2006 A note on static metrics *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23** 569-71
- [2] Beem J K, Ehrlich P E and Easley K L 1996 *Global Lorentzian geometry*, Monographs Textbooks Pure Appl. Math. **202** (New York: Dekker Inc.).
- [3] Bernal A N and Sánchez M 2003 On smooth Cauchy hypersurfaces and Geroch's splitting theorem *Commun. Math. Phys.* **243** 461-70
- [4] Bernal A N and Sánchez M 2006 Further results on the smoothability of Cauchy hypersurfaces and Cauchy time functions. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **77** 183-97
- [5] Chruściel P 1993 On completeness of orbits of Killing vector fields *Class. Quantum Grav.* **10** 2091-101
- [6] Garfinkle D and Harris S G 1997 Ricci fall-off in static and stationary, globally hyperbolic, non-singular spacetimes *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14** 139-51
- [7] Harris S G 1992 Conformally stationary spacetimes *Class. Quantum Grav.* **9** 1823-27
- [8] Mars M and Senovilla J M M 2003 Trapped surfaces and symmetries *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** L293-L300.
- [9] O'Neill B 1983 *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with applications to Relativity* (New York: Academic Press)
- [10] Romero A and Sánchez M 1994 On the completeness of certain families of semi-Riemannian manifolds *Geom. Dedicata* **53** 103-17
- [11] Sachs R and Wu H 1977 *General Relativity for Mathematicians*, Graduate Texts in Math. **48** (New York: Springer)
- [12] Sánchez M 2005 On the geometry of static spacetimes *Nonlin. Anal.*, **63** e455-e463
- [13] Sánchez M 2006 On causality and closed geodesics of compact Lorentzian manifolds and static spacetimes *Diff. Geom. Appl.* **24** 21-32.
- [14] Stephani H, Kramer D, MacCallum M A H, Hoenselaers C and Herlt E 2003 *Exact Solutions to Einstein's Field Equations*, 2nd ed., (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [15] Tod K P 2000 Spatial metrics which are static in many ways *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **32** 2079-90